organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Mohd Mustaqim Rosli,^a Hoong-Kun Fun,^a* Ibrahim Abdul Razak,^a Tanin Panawarangkul^b and Suchada Chantrapromma^b*

^aX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat-Yai, Songkhla 90112, Thailand

Correspondence e-mail: hkfun@usm.my, suchada.c@psu.ac.th

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.001 Å R factor = 0.038 wR factor = 0.111 Data-to-parameter ratio = 19.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

The 1:1 adduct of 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid and quinoline

In the crystal structure of the title adduct, $C_7H_4N_2O_6\cdot C_9H_7N$, the 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid and quinoline molecules are linked into a chain along the *b* axis by intermolecular $O-H\cdots N$ and $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. Adjacent chains are linked *via* $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds into layers parallel to the *ab* plane. A three-dimensional network is formed *via* $\pi-\pi$ interactions.

Received 3 January 2006 Accepted 18 January 2006 Online 25 January 2006

Comment

The adducts of organic acids with amine bases in the solid state have been of interest due to their hydrogen-bond interactions (Coupar et al., 1997; Sobczyk et al., 2000; Smith, Wermuth & White, 2001; Smith, Wermuth, Bott et al., 2001; Fun et al., 2003; Chantrapromma & Fun, 2004) and their important role in biological systems (Blow, 1976). Following our interest in the hydrogen-bonding interactions and phase transitions due to hydrogen bonding (Usman et al., 2001; Fun et al., 2003; How et al., 2005), we have investigated the interaction between 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid and several amine bases such as 2,4'-bipyridine (Chantrapromma et al., 2002b), quinuclidine (Chantrapromma et al., 2004) and hexamethylenetetramine (Fun et al., 2003; Chantrapromma et al., 2006; How et al., 2005). The H-transfer process occurs in these adducts and the adduct of 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid with hexamethylenetetramine undergoes a phase transition (Fun et al., 2003; How et al., 2005). We have been investigating the phase transition due to hydrogen bonding in adducts of acids and amines using single-crystal structure determination (Chantrapromma et al., 2002a,b, 2004, 2006; Chantrapromma & Fun 2004; Fun et al., 2003; How et al., 2005). In our ongoing systematic studies on the interactions between 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid and amine bases, the title compound, (I), was prepared.



© 2006 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved The asymmetric unit of (I) (Fig. 1) comprises one 3,5dinitrobenzoic acid and one quinoline molecule. No proton

5352 independent reflections

 $R_{\rm int}=0.027$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 32.5^{\circ}$

 $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$

 $k = -21 \rightarrow 21$

 $l = -22 \rightarrow 21$

4753 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$



The asymmetric unit of (I), showing 80% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atomic numbering. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds



Figure 2

The crystal packing of (I), viewed down the c axis, illustrating the intermolecular hydrogen bonds (dashed lines).

transfer is observed in (I), which is in contrast with the similar compounds of 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid with 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (Chantrapromma & Fun 2004), quinuclidine (Chantrapromma et al., 2004) and hexamethylenetetramine (Chantrapromma et al., 2006; Fun et al., 2003; How et al., 2005).

All bond distances and angles in (I) show normal values (Allen et al., 1987). Selected bond lengths are given in Table 1. The quinoline ring system is planar within ± 0.011 (1) Å. In the 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid molecule, the two nitro and carboxyl groups are twisted slightly away from the plane of the benzene ring with torsion angles O5-N2-C5-C4 of $-3.77 (11)^{\circ}$, O1-N1-C1-C6 of 2.41 (12)° and C4-C3-C7-O4 of 2.58 (11)°. The dihedral angle between the benezene ring and the quinoline ring system is 7.20 (3)°. The geometric parameters in 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid are comparable to those in with 1.4-diazabicvclol2.2.2loctane (Chanits adducts trapromma & Fun, 2004), quinuclidine (Chantrapromma et al., 2004) and hexamethylenetetramine (Chantrapromma et al., 2006).

In the crystal structure (Fig. 2), the 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid and quinoline molecules are linked into a chain along the baxis by O4–H1O4···N3, C8–H8···O3 and C13–H13···O2ⁱⁱ hydrogen bonds (Table 2); the screw-related molecules in adjacent chains are linked via C10-H10···O3ⁱ hydrogen bonds (symmetry codes are given in Table 2) into layers parallel to the ab plane (Fig. 2). The benzene rings of the 3,5dinitrobenzoic acid and quinoline molecules in adjacent layers are stacked with a centroid ... centroid distance of 3.6202 (5) Å, indicating weak π - π interactions.

Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared by mixing thoroughly equimolar amounts of quinoline (0.60 ml, 5 mmol) and 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid (1.06 g, 5 mmol) in ethanol (100 ml) with a few drops of water. The mixture was warmed until a clear solution was obtained. The solution was then filtered and left to evaporate slowly at ambient temperature. Colourless block-shaped single crystals of (I) were obtained from the solution after a few days (m.p. 419-421 K).

Crystal data

C-H-N-O-C-H-N	$D = 1.533 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 341.28$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 5352
a = 7.0358 (1) Å	reflections
b = 14.3980 (3) Å	$\theta = 2.0-32.5^{\circ}$
c = 14.6002 (2) Å	$\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 90.175 (1)^{\circ}$	T = 100.0 (1) K
V = 1479.01 (4) Å ³	Block, colourless
Z = 4	$0.52 \times 0.42 \times 0.39 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXILCCD area-detector diffractometer w scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2005) $T_{\min} = 0.852, \ T_{\max} = 0.955$ 31914 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0664P)^2]$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$ + 0.323P] $wR(F^2) = 0.111$ where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ S=1.03 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.50 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 5352 reflections $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 270 parameters All H-atom parameters refined

Table 1 Selected bond lengths (Å).

O1-N1	1.2255 (10)	O6-N2	1.2305 (9)
O2-N1	1.2252 (11)	N1-C1	1.4723 (10)
O3-C7	1.2225 (9)	N2-C5	1.4731 (10)
O4-C7	1.3081 (10)	N3-C8	1.3213 (10)
O5-N2	1.2252 (10)	N3-C16	1.3722 (10)

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}$
O4-H1O4···N3	0.854 (16)	1.749 (16)	2.6019 (9)	176 (2)
C8−H8···O3	0.989 (14)	2.465 (14)	3.2237 (10)	133 (1)
$C10-H10\cdots O3^{i}$	0.958 (14)	2.454 (14)	3.2530 (10)	141 (1)
$C13-H13\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.977 (14)	2.512 (14)	3.2129 (12)	129 (1)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) x, y - 1, z.

All H atoms were located in a difference map and refined isotropically. As the O4–H1O4 distance was long [1.06 (2) Å], it was restrained to 0.85 (1) Å during the final cycles of refinement. The C–H distances lie in the range 0.94 (1)–0.99 (1) Å.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: *APEX2*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2005); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1998); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

The authors thank the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia for the Scientific Advancement Grant Allocation (SAGA) grant No. 304/PFIZIK/653003/ A118. SC also thanks the Prince of Songkla University for partial financial support.

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.
- Blow, D. M. (1976). Acc. Chem. Res. 9, 145-156.
- Bruker (2005). *APEX2* (Version 1.27), *SAINT* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chantrapromma, S. & Fun, H.-K. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, o1250-o1252.
- Chantrapromma, S., Fun, H.-K. & Usman, A. (2006). J. Mol. Struct. In the press.
- Chantrapromma, S., Usman, A., Fun, H.-K., Poh, B.-L. & Karalai, C. (2002*a*). *Acta Cryst.* E**58**, o102–o104.
- Chantrapromma, S., Usman, A., Fun, H.-K., Poh, B.-L. & Karalai, C. (2002b). Acta Cryst. C58, 0589–0590.
- Chantrapromma, S., Usman, A., Fun, H.-K., Poh, B.-L. & Karalai, C. (2004). J. Mol. Struct. 688, 59–65.
- Coupar, P. I., Glidewell, C. & Ferguson, G. (1997). Acta Cryst. B53, 521-533.
- Fun, H. K., Usman, A., Chantrapromma, S., Osman, J., Ong, L. H., Tilly, D. R. & Ishibashi, Y. (2003). Solid State Commun. 127, 677–682.
- How, P.-T., Lee, B.-S., Fun, H.-K., Razak, I. A. & Chantrapromma, S. (2005). *Phys. Rev. B*, **71**, 174109.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Smith, G., Wermuth, U. D., Bott, R. C., White, J. M. & Willis, A. C. (2001). Aust. J. Chem. 54, 165–170.
- Smith, G., Wermuth, U. D. & White, J. M. (2001). Aust. J. Chem. 54, 171– 175.
- Sobczyk, L., Lis, T., Olejnik, Z. & Majerz, I. (2000). J. Mol. Struct. 552, 233–241.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Usman, A., Chantrapromma, S. & Fun, H.-K. (2001). Acta Cryst. C57, 1443–1446.